

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/869,101	YAMASAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 120

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) _____.

 (2) John Kendall (Reg. No. 50,680).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 July 2004
Time: 12:32pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicants' representative to discuss claim 6. Mr. Kendall indicated that he would contact Applicants for permission to make the changes suggested by the Examiner. On July 9, 2004, @ 12:08pm, Mr. Kendall called the Examiner giving permission to make the suggested changes to claim 6.